

Bongaya Journal for Research in Management Volume 5 Nomor 1. Hal 55-60. e-ISSN: 2615-8868 Homepage: https://ojs.stiem-bongaya.ac.id/index.php/BJRM

The Effect of Corporate Governance and Profitability on Social **Responsibility**

Ramlah, Nurlaely Razak, Muhammad Tafsir, Hasyim Mochtar, **Djamilah Saleh**

Manajemen, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Makassar Bongaya Makassar

(Diterima: 13 Januari 2022; direvisi: 10 April 2022; dipublikasikan: 30 April 2022) 18 –Bongaya Journal for Research inManagement STIEM Bongaya. Ini adalah artikel dengan akses terbuka dibawah licenci CC BY-NC-4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Email: ramlahsofyan26@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: This study examines and analyses corporate governance's effect on social responsibility. This research was conducted at PT. Pelabuhan Indonesia IV (Persero) and to test and analyze the impact of profitability on social responsibility. Data collection uses secondary data using a saturated sample technique (census). The population in this study is the financial statements of 10 working areas at PT. Pelabuhan Indonesia IV (Persero), while the sample taken is the number of observations for three years (2018 to 2020). The data obtained were analyzed using multiple linear regression analysis, t-test and the Coefficient of Determination (R²) test, the processing of which was carried out using the SPSS version 23 program. The results showed that the first and second hypotheses proposed were accepted because they showed positive and significant hypothesis test results. This means that corporate governance has a positive and significant effect on corporate social responsibility, and profitability has a positive and significant impact on corporate social responsibility.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Profitability, Corporate Social Responsibility.

PRELIMINARY

The development of the company's business activities cannot be separated from the external environment, namely the environment and society. The company must protect the external environment so as not to cause negative impacts, such as pollution and environmental damage. The company is expected to balance the operational activities used, followed by attention to the community's welfare and environmental sustainability. The balance of the company's ties to the environment and the community (stakeholders) must be maintained for long-term survival. Companieshave three public needs that companies tend to ignore when conducting business, namely only the interests of shareholders, increasing negative impacts on the environment, and society having difficulty in obtaining compensation. While corporate social responsibility (CSR) is significant to pay attention to as a form of the company's attention to the community in the company and empowerment of small businesses, the company consistently carries out the Partnership and Community Development Program when assisting small businesses and small businesses. Cooperatives and social assistance align with the company's capabilities, together with research and evaluation of business viability so that the service provided is on target. Another decisive factor when increasing corporate social responsibility is corporate governance and profitability—the phenomenon of research on corporate governance, profitability, and social responsibility at PT. Port of Indonesia IV (Persero) from 2018 to 2020 is shown in Table 1: Research phenomena related to corporate governance, profitability, and social responsibility at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero) from 2018 to 2020.

Years	Good Corporate	Profitability (%)	Corporate Social
	Governance (%)		Responsibility (%)
2018	83,93	72,73	19,75
2019	84,66	97,52	21,29
2020	80,44	15,29	17,91

Table 1. The phenomenon of Corporate Governance, Profitability and Liability AnswerSosial at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero) Period 2018-2020

Based on table 1. it can be explained that corporate governance, profitability, and social responsibility at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero) for the 2018-2020 period has fluctuated (up and down), namely in 2018, corporate governance of 83.93%, the profitability of 72.73%, and corporate social responsibility of 19.75%, furthermore in 2019 experienced an increase in corporate governance by 84.66%, profitability by 97.52% and corporate social responsibility by 21.29%. Still, in 2020 there was a decline in corporate governance by 80.44%, profitability by 15.29%, and responsible corporate social responsibility by 17.91%; there are indications due to corporate governance and profitability.

However, in contrast, the research made by Karina (2020) suggests that corporate governance has a positive and significant effect on corporate social responsibility, and profitability has a positive and significant impact on corporate social responsibility. Hidayati's research (2019) suggests that corporate governance has an influence positive and material impact on corporate social responsibility, and leverage has a positive and material effect on corporate social responsibility, and leverage has a positive and material effect on corporate social responsibility. However, Wayan's research (2020) said that corporate governance, profitability, and leverage positively and materially impact corporate social responsibility.

RESEARCH METHODS

The determination of this research sample uses a saturated model (census), where the entire population is used as a sample with the number of observations for three years (2018-2020), so the value of this research observation is $10 \times 3 = 30$ observation data. Until it is saturated, it is used because the population is limited or small (Sekaran, 2015: 118). The independent variables (independent variables) during this research are governance (X1) and profitability (X2). Corporate governance is an internal control system of the company with the main objective of managingsignificant risks to meet its business objectives. Meanwhile, profitability (X2) is an independent variable (independent variable).

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Corporate Governance (X1)

The following will present the annual data on corporate governance (managerial ownership) at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero) from 2018 - 2020 (3×10 working areas) = 30 observation data. For more details, it can be seen in Table 5.1 below:

No	Work Area / Province	Managerial ownership (%)		
	work Area / Province	2018	2019	2020
1.	Sulawesi Selatan	83,93	84,66	80,44
2.	Sulawesi Utara	83,93	84,66	80,44
3.	Sulawesi Tenggara	83,93	84,66	80,44
4.	Sulawesi Tengah	83,93	84,66	80,44

 Table 1. Results of Calculation of Corporate Governance at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero)

Ramlah, Nurlaely Razak, Muhammad Tafsir, Hasyim Mochtar, Djamilah Saleh, The Effect of Corporate Governance and Profitability on Social Responsibility 15

5.	Gorontalo	83,93	84,66	80,44
6.	Kalimantan Timur	83,93	84,66	80,44
7.	Maluku	83,93	84,66	80,44
8.	Maluku Utara	83,93	84,66	80,44
9.	Papua	83,93	84,66	80,44
10.	Papua Barat	83,93	84,66	80,44

Profitability (X2)

Measured by a proxy, namely Return On Assets (ROA). The following will present the annual data on profitability (ROA) at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero) from 2018 - 2020 (3×10 working areas) = 30 observation data. For more details, it can be seen in Table 5.2 below:

Table 2. Profitability Calculation Results (ROA) at PT. port Indonesia IV (Persero)			
2018-2020			

No	Work Area / Dravings	ROA (%)		
	Work Area / Province	2018	2019	2020
1.	Sulawesi Selatan	33,28	9,83	5,99
2.	Sulawesi Utara	10,66	11,95	15,29
3.	Sulawesi Tenggara	6,14	7,14	5,08
4.	Sulawesi Tengah	3,05	3,45	1,10
5.	Gorontalo	1,18	0,53	0,22
6.	Kalimantan Timur	72,73	97,52	118,47
7.	Maluku	5,51	3,87	4,94
8.	Maluku Utara	1,44	5,56	1,21
9.	Papua	6,29	5,57	5,35
10.	Papua Barat	6,83	5,10	5,20

Normality Test Results

Normality test can be seen by statistical test, namely in this research together with non-parametric statistic Ko Imogorov-Smirnov test. The basis for decision-making is if the probability value (a sym sign) is greater than the error rate of 5% (0.05). The following is table 5.4 for the results of the heteroscedasticity test. b. Multicollinearity Test Results A good regression model should not correlate with independent variables. The tolerance value and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value are used to obtain the existence of linearity.

Hypothesis Testing Results

Partial Test Results (t-Test) The results of the t-statistical test show how much the influence of one independent variable (independent) partially or individually influences the dependent variable. The following table describes the results of the t-statistical test.

Tabel 3. Hasil Uji Parsial (Uji t) Coefficients

Mo	Model		Sig.
1	(Constant)	2,761	,010
	Tata Kelola Perusahaan	4,412	,000
	Profitabilitas	4,433	,000

Based on table 3 the corporate governance variable has a tcount value of 4.412 while the ttable value is 2.048, with a significance value of 0.000, a significant level of 5% or 0.05 and degrees of freedom (df) = n - k - 1 (in this study df = 30 - 1 - 1 = 28). This shows that the value of t- count is greater than the value of ttable (4,412 > 2,048), and if the significance value is less than the

significance level of 5% or 0.05 (0.000 < 0.05), then H01 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted. This means that corporate governance positively and significantly affects corporate social responsibility.

The results of testing the second hypothesis (H2) by testing the effect of profitability on corporate social responsibility. Based on table 3, the profitability variable has a tcount value of 4.433 while the ttable value is 2.048, with a significance value of 0.000, a significant level of 5% or 0.05 and degrees of freedom (df) = n - k - 1 (in this study df = 30 - 1 - 1 = 28). This shows that the value of tcount is greater than the value of ttable (4.433 > 2.048), and the significance value is smaller than the significance level of 5% or 0.05 (0.000 < 0.05), then H02 is rejected, and Ha2 is accepted. This means that profitability has a positive and significant effect on responsibility corporate social.

Coefficient of Determination Test Results (R^2)

The coefficient of determination (R^2) shows the magnitude of the influence of corporate governance and profitability as independent variables on corporate social responsibility as a dividend variable. The coefficient value of 1 indicates that the independent variable used is 100% correct (perfect) in explaining the variations in the dependent variable. Based on the results of data processing, the value of the coefficient of determination (R^2) is obtained as follows:

	Table 4. Results of the Coefficient of Determination (R ⁻)				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R	Std. Error Of The	
			Square	Estimate	
1	,978ª	,956	,914	9,770	

Table 4. Results	s of the Coefficient	t of Determination (R ²)
I abic T. INcourts		$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} U$

Based on table 4 shows that the value of R Square (R^2) used to calculate the effect of the independent variables (X1 and X2) on the dependent variable (Y) is 0.956 or 95.60%. This means 95.60% of corporate social responsibility is influenced by corporate governance and profitability. Meanwhile, 4.40% (100% - 95.60%) is controlled by other factors not examined, such as leverage and firm size.

The Effect of Corporate Governance on Corporate Social Responsibility (H1)

The results of this study indicate that corporate governance has a positive and significant effect on corporate social responsibility. This means that corporate social responsibility will increase when corporate governance is improved or vice versa. When there is a decrease in corporate governance, it will have an impact on decreasing corporate social responsibility, and this is statistically proven by the regression coefficient of 5.949, tcount is greater than ttable (4,412 > 2,048).) and the level of significance less than 0.05 (0.000 < 0.05. The results of this study are in line with the Single theory (2016: 25), which states that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a balance between society, the environment, and profit, which means the company's concern for setting aside some of its profits (profit) for the benefit of human development. (people) and the environment (planet) in a sustainable manner based on appropriate and professional procedures to create good corporate governance or Good Corporate Governance (GCG). This research is also supported by Karina (2020), who suggests that corporate governance has a positive and significant effect on corporate social responsibility. This shows that the higher the corporate governance, the higher the social responsibility at PT. Pelabuhan Indonesia IV (Persero).

Effect of Profitability on Corporate Social Responsibility (H2)

The results of this study indicate that profitability has a positive and significant effect on corporate social responsibility. This means that when profitability is increased, corporate social responsibility will increase or vice versa. When there is a decrease in profitability, it will have an impact on decreasing corporate social responsibility; this is statistically proven by the regression coefficient of 6.438, tcount is greater than ttable (4.433 > 2.048), and the level of significance is less than 0.05 (0.000 < 0.05. The coefficient of determination (R^2) which shows the R Square value is 0.956. This indicates that the influence of corporate governance and profitability on corporate social responsibility is 95.60%. The results of this study are in line with the Single theory (2016: 25), which

Ramlah, Nurlaely Razak, Muhammad Tafsir, Hasyim Mochtar, Djamilah Saleh, The Effect of Corporate Governance and Profitability on Social Responsibility 17

states that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a balance between society, the environment, and profit, which means the company's concern for setting aside some of its earnings (profit) for the benefit of human development. (people) and the environment (planet) in a sustainable manner based on appropriate and professional procedures to create good corporate governance and increase profitability.

Wayan's research (2020) suggests that corporate governance and profitability positively and significantly affect corporate social responsibility. This research is also supported by Hidayati (2019), who suggests that profitability positively and substantially affects corporate social responsibility. This shows that profitability has a significant role in increasing social responsibility at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

Based on the results of hypothesis testing and referring to the formulation and objectives of this research, it can be concluded that: Corporate governance has a positive and material impact on responsibilities social responsibility at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero). Hi, this means if when the corporate governance is high, then the social responsibility company will be increased. Profitability has a positive and material impact on responsibility social at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero). Hi, this means that the company's social responsibility will be tall when profitability is high.

Suggestion

Based on the results of the research that has been done, there are several suggestions which several parties may consider: From the results of this research, it can be seen that corporate governance and profitability partially positive and significant effect on responsibility social, it is desirable that the company leadership continues to improve social responsibility at PT. PELINDO IV (Persero). For further researchers if they add to the research or the scope of the company used as sample and the year of research as well as adding the research variables, so that the results of this research can be useful for companies and the development of knowledge about management finance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Hery. (2014). Potret Profesi Audit Internal. Cetakan Satu. Bandung : Alfabeta.

- Hidayati. (2019). Pengaruh Tata KeloIa Perusahaan, Profitabilitas dan Ieverage terhadap Tanggung Jawab SosiaI Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia: *JurnaI Akuntan*. 3 (1) 77 113.
- Husnan. (2015). Seri Penuntun Belajar: Dasar-Dasar Manejemen Keuangan. jogyakarta : Cetakan Kedua UPP AMP YKPN.
- Indriyo. (2014). *Manejemen Keuangan*. Edisi Pertama. Cetakan Kedua. jogyakarta: BPFE Univ Gadja Mada.
- Karina. (2020).Pengaruh Tata Kelola Perusahaan dan Profitabilitas terhadap Tanggung Jawab SosiaI Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Sektor Pertambangan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2017-2019. FE Universitas Siliwangi : Jurnal Riset Akuntan, Manejemen dan Ekonomi.2 (1).
- Martono, Harjito. (2016). Manejemen Keuangan. Yogyakarta : Edisi 3, Cetakan Ketiga, BPFE. Rahayu. (2020). Pengaruh Tata KeloIa Perusahaan dan Profitabilitas terhadap Tanggung Jawab
- Sosial Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia.Jurnal Riset Manaj Sains Indonesia. 3 (1).
- Sekaran, Uma. (2015). Research Methods For Business. Jakarta : Salemba Empat.
- Sugiyono. (2017). Statistik Untuk Penelitian. Cetakan Ketujuh. Bandung : Alfabeta.

Tafsir, Muhammad, Andara, Nandita (2020). Tanggung Jawab Sosial dan Citra Merk : Sebuah Model Pengingatan Nilai Perusahaan. Jurnal Mirai Management Vol 4 No 2

18 Bongaya Journal for Research in Management Vol. 5 No.1 April 2022

Tunggal. (2016). Corporate Social Responsibility. Jakarta : Harvarind.

Untung. (2015). Corporate Social Responsibility. Jakarta : Sinar Grafika.

Wahyudi. (2016). Corporate Social Responsibility. Malang : Setara Press dan Inspire Indonesia.

- Wayan. (2020). Pengaruh Tata Kelola Perusahaan, Profitabilitas dan Leverage terhadap Tanggung Jawab Sosial Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Proferti dan Real Estate di Bursa Efek Indonesia. Jurnal Riset Akuntansi, Manajemen dan Ekonomi. 2 (1).
- Wibisono. (2016). Membedah Konsep Alikasi Corporate Social Responsibility. Gresik: Fascho Publishing.

Zarkasyi. (2016). Good Corporate Governance. Bandung : Alfabeta.